FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 06/04/2021 04:00 PM INDEX NO. 154545/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

# **Exhibit C**

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

1 VIRGINIA: 2 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR FAIRFAX COUNTY -----x 3 4 JOHN C. DEPP, II, 5 Plaintiff, : 6 : Case No.: V. 7 AMBER LAURA HEARD, : CL2019-0002911 8 Defendant. : 9 10 11 HEARING 12 BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRUCE D. WHITE 13 Conducted Virtually Friday, October 23, 2020 14 15 10:30 a.m. 16 17 Job No.: 326192 18 Pages: 1 - 33 19 20 Reported by: Judith E. Bellinger, RPR, CRR 21 22

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

2

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

	l
1	HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE BRUCE D. WHITE,
2	conducted virtually.
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	Pursuant to docketing, before Judith E.
10	Bellinger, Registered Professional Reporter,
11	Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public in
12	and for the State of Maryland.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
4	BENJAMIN G. CHEW, ESQUIRE
5	CAMILLE VASQUEZ, ESQUIRE
6	BROWN RUDNICK, LLP
7	601 Thirteenth Street, NW
8	Suite 600
9	Washington, D.C. 20005
10	202.536.1700
11	
12	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:
13	J. BENJAMIN ROTTENBORN, ESQUIRE
14	WOODS ROGERS, PLC
15	10 South Jefferson Street
16	Suite 1400
17	Roanoke, VA 24011-1319
18	540.983.7600
19	
20	
21	
22	

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

ı	
1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2	
3	ELAINE CHARLSON BREDEHOFT, ESQUIRE
4	CHARLSON BREDEHOFT COHEN & BROWN, P.C.
5	11260 Roger Bacon Drive
6	Suite 201
7	Reston, VA 20190
8	703.318.6800
9	
10	ALSO PRESENT:
11	Adam Waldman
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

YORK COUNTY CLERK 06/04/2021 04:00 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

5

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 3 THE COURT: Would everybody please note 4 their appearances for the court reporter. 5 MR. CHEW: Good morning, Your Honor. 6 May it please the Court. Ben Chew, attorney for 7 Plaintiff Johnny Depp. 8 With me today is Camille Vasquez. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Good morning, Your Honor. 10 MR. ROTTENBORN: Good morning, Your 11 Honor. Ben Rottenborn here with my colleague 12 Elaine Bredehoft on behalf of the Defendant Amber 13 Heard. 14 THE COURT: Good morning. Welcome, 15 everybody. 16 MS. BREDEHOFT: Good morning, Your 17 Honor. 18 THE COURT: I'm ready when you are. 19 Thank you, Your Honor. MR. ROTTENBORN: 20 And I'll go as quickly as I can and reserve a 21 couple minutes for rebuttal, if possible. 22 Both this court and the Virginia

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

YORK COUNTY CLERK 06/04/2021 04:00 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

6

Supreme Court have made very clear that they expect lawyers who practice in the Commonwealth, and in this court generally, to adhere to the highest standards of professionalism. That's long been a hallmark of legal practice in Virginia. In this case, the Court granted Mr. Waldman's pro hac vice application on the trust that he would comport himself like the Court expects all Virgina lawyers to do. 10 Mr. Waldman's abused that trust, quite 11 simply, Your Honor, and we're here today asking 12 that the Court put a stop to this conduct. 13 Now, Mr. Depp's brief is more notable, 14 I think, for what it doesn't say than what it 15 does. It doesn't deny that Mr. Waldman engaged in 16 any of the conduct that's detailed in our brief. 17 They don't even try to deny it. And I think the 18 guiding case here, Your Honor, with respect to pro 19 hac vice is the Williams & Connolly case from the 20 Virginia Supreme Court that says that revocation 21 of pro hac vice status is appropriate when 22 counsel's conduct reflect, and I quote, "an

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

7

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

1 inadequate understanding of Virginia's ethical 2 requirement and/or fails to meet 'the level of 3 professionalism that our chief justices as well as 4 many others in the state have worked so hard to 5 instill." 6 And I'll briefly detail the conduct 7 here as detailed in our brief as well, Your Honor, 8 but it falls into a couple categories. The first 9 is that Mr. Waldman has blatantly produced at 10 least two documents that have been marked 11 confidential under the protective order in this 12 case. 13 The first is a deposition transcript of 14 a third-party witness named Josh Drew. 15 Mr. Waldman leaked a portion related to sexual rumors, false sexual rumors, about Ms. Heard. 16 17 When we learned of this from a third-party media 18 outlet reaching out to us in June, we immediately 19 reached out to Mr. Chew that the press had 20 contacted us; that this portion of the deposition 21 was marked confidential; and asked that whatever leak had happened be retracted. 22

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

### Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

8

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

1 He didn't respond. Though in a later 2 conversation he didn't deny that Mr. Waldman had 3 leaked it, and had no defense for that conduct. 4 Now, it's no -- well, the second 5 category, Your Honor, is, I think, even more 6 egregious, is that he literally took text messages 7 that had been produced by Ms. Heard in this case 8 and marked confidential, took screenshots of them, 9 or somehow uploaded them electronically, except 10 removed the confidential footer, and sent them out 11 to the world directly on his Twitter account, with 12 no regard for the confidentiality order, no regard 13 for the process for de-designating items that one doesn't believe are confidential. 14 15 And that's no answer for Mr. Depp to arque, as he does in his brief, that this material 16 17 should not somehow have been marked confidential. 18 There's a mechanism for de-designating documents, 19 it's Section 2 of the protective order, and it 20 requires the party to meet-and-confer, and, if 21 necessary, ask the Court to remove or modify a 22 confidentiality designation.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

9

1 And one thing we'll agree on is -- that 2 I can agree on with Mr. Chew, is that process. 3 Because in Mr. Drew's deposition, Ms. Heard's 4 former counsel challenged Mr. Chew's designation 5 of a different part of that transcript as 6 confidential, and Mr. Chew responded quite 7 correctly, "We want the right to designate and you 8 have the right to challenge." 9 And that's correct. That's the way it 10 should work. 11 What the protective order does not do, 12 Your Honor, is give Mr. Waldman the unilateral and 13 unfettered right to decide what he thinks should 14 be confidential and then to send everything else 15 out to the world. To send a tweet out to the world with a screenshot of that. 16 If you look at their brief, it's very 17 18 telling. They don't ever deny it. They don't even reference that he did it because it's 19 20 accepted that this is exactly what he's done. And 21 he makes a few other arguments. 22 Again, any argument that the material

YORK COUNTY CLERK 06/04/2021 04:00 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

### Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

10

wasn't properly designated, that's an argument that the parties can work through under the protective order. But I will note that, as I understand it, up until last week, nearly the entirety of Mr. Depp's document production in this case had been marked confidential. They purported to de-designate much of that last week without specifying Bates numbers or anything, clearly in response to this motion. But that's not at issue 10 today. 11 They also claim in the brief that 12 Ms. Heard produced some of her own documents in 13 the UK proceeding to The Sun, and so she shouldn't 14 be complaining that Mr. Waldman did the same. 15 Well, that's an absurd argument, Your Honor. A 16 party can always decide which documents she or he 17 believes of their own should be confidential. 18 that's a far cry from allowing an attorney for the 19 other side to unilaterally decide that something 20 isn't confidential and screenshot it to the world. 21 Then that's the argument that 22 Ms. Heard's counsel somehow improperly produced

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

### Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

11

1 documents in the UK litigation, it's the ultimate 2 red herring, Your Honor. That's not at issue 3 today and we would defend everything that was done 4 in connection with the UK proceeding. 5 But the only thing I'll say about that, 6 and as the Court received judicial notice of on 7 July 9th, is that the UK court found that Mr. Depp 8 had failed to produce documents from this 9 litigation that it had ordered produced, and found 10 that conduct sanctionable. And then granted the 11 relief from sanctions was that it threatened to 12 dismiss this case if Mr. Depp somehow made the 13 arguments in the U.S. that he is making today; 14 that somehow Ms. Heard did something wrong. But 15 in any event, not at issue today. Not before the Court. What's before the Court is Mr. Waldman's 16 17 conduct. The second category of misconduct is 18 19 repeatedly leaking documents in this case in order 20 to trigger press articles that he then tweets 21 about. So there are audio recordings, 22 surveillance tapes, medical records, declarations.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

12

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

L	I'll run through what he does in a couple of these
2	instances. He gives all of these documents to
3	news outlets who then write incorrect and
1	misleading stories. For example, he will draft
5	declarations with the case caption here, Your
ó	Honor has seen these in prior motions, he'll leak
7	them to the press and then tweet an article that
3	usually misleadingly says that these are court
9	documents, or documents that have been filed in
10	this case, when in almost all instances they had
11	never been produced to us before they were leaked
12	to the press. And in many instances were
13	individuals who were not even identified on a
14	witness list.
15	I think the most egregious example of
16	misconduct in this category, Your Honor, are
17	medical records relating to the finger injury that
18	Mr. Depp sustained in Australia. And, of course,
19	the cause of that is a subject in dispute in this
20	litigation.
21	The Court ordered these medical records
22	to be produced on October 18th, 2019, so we passed

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

13

1 the one-year anniversary last week. Mr. Depp 2 didn't produce them. But in April 2020, 3 Mr. Waldman provided these documents to an 4 anonymous Twitter user, who then wrote an article 5 and claimed that they were new evidence, three 6 exclamation points. Mr. Depp still didn't produce 7 We had to move to compel on July 10th just 8 to get them to produce what they should have 9 produced nine months prior, but that Mr. Waldman 10 had already seen fit to leak to an anonymous 11 Twitter user. 12 Our brief at page 3 and 4 recites a 13 litany of further abuses by Mr. Waldman and leaks 14 that have been made, Your Honor. And, you know, 15 we're not just complaining about these in a 16 These have a direct impact on Ms. Heard's 17 ability to take discovery. And I'll give you two 18 examples. 19 The first is that Mr. Drew, once his 20 deposition testimony was leaked, he initially 21 threatened to -- not to come testify on The Sun's 22 behalf in the UK trial, which is understandable.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

14

1 Because he had taken a deposition and he believed 2 it was confidential, and then Mr. Waldman had 3 leaked it without any process or meet-and-confer. 4 So he ultimately did testify, but there was, as I 5 understand it -- I wasn't there -- but as I 6 understand it, it was his lawyers' initially said 7 that they weren't going to produce him, or he 8 wasn't going to testify. 9 The second one, this is detailed in our brief as well, Your Honor, is when a third party, 10 11 there's a third party in California whose 12 deposition was sought, and his attorney was 13 emailing with counsel for both parties about 14 potential confidentiality protections in this 15 case, and requesting that. Mr. Waldman leaked 16 that attorney's email, which, as I understand it, 17 made that witness -- you know, I don't know 18 whether he will ultimately testify or not. But 19 that kind of derailed the efforts to get him to 20 testify initially. 21 The Court in this case has repeatedly 22 told the parties not to engage in this conduct.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

#### INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

15

1 And you've heard me say a half dozen times 2 probably, Your Honor, that these accusations that 3 they make against our side for somehow violating 4 your Court's admonition about the reasons for the 5 continuance, that just did not happen. So they 6 can say it all they want, but it did not happen. 7 This is a one-sided type thing in this 8 case, and the Court's repeatedly told the parties 9 not to engage in this conduct. It's impeding 10 Ms. Heard's rights to take full and fair 11 discovery, as I've detailed. It's also 12 threatening the right to a fair trial. It's 13 tainting the jury pool. And it's just unbecoming 14 of any lawyer that practices before this Court. 15 Your Honor, we've tried everything that We've sent them a letter. we could. 16 17 brought it up in discovery motions. 18 Now, they tried to compare this lawyer 19 through some of their cases to -- or this conduct 20 through the cases that they cite, to things like a 21 lawyer giving an occasional press conference. 22 this is a far cry from that.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

16

1 Let's be clear about what's going on, 2 Your Honor. This is an attorney who's leaking 3 protected documents, leaking documents that 4 haven't been produced, in some cases which have 5 been ordered to be produced, creating declarations 6 and giving them to press, claiming that they're 7 documents filed in this case; all to manipulate 8 the press and the consuming public, and then 9 tweeting about the very false, misleading, or 10 improper articles that he helped create. There's no legitimate cause for this 11 12 conduct, Your Honor. And the argument in 13 Mr. Depp's brief that all he's doing is sending tweets out to articles that have been published is 14 15 fairly circular when his misconduct is what's 16 causing those articles to be published. 17 So in short, Judge White, there's no regard for the Court's admonitions by Mr. Waldman. 18 19 Its orders, including discovery orders, the 20 protective order, and no regard for the rules. 21 And I'll just note, and we're not here 22 today to seek relief on this, but we noticed

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

## INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

1	Mr. Waldman's deposition for just last Wednesday,
2	October 14th, and he didn't show up or move for a
3	protective order. Now, we understand that Your
4	Honor limited the document discovery from him.
5	But when he's out there talking to potential
6	witnesses, talking to the press, talking to
7	anonymous Twitter users, that work is not attorney
8	work product. It's not attorney-client privilege.
9	It goes directly to Ms. Heard's defenses in this
10	case, and to her counterclaims, and it's
11	discoverable, and we intended to ask him about it.
12	But he didn't show up. Didn't move for a
13	protective order. And once again, an attorney on
14	our team was present with the court reporter and
15	the videographer ready to depose him, but like
16	Mr. Depp, he flouted the rules and he disregarded
17	the deposition notice.
18	So we ask this Court to hold
19	Mr. Waldman to the standard that Virginia courts
20	expect attorneys to adhere to. Revocation of pro
21	hac vice is absolutely appropriate. Supreme Court
22	has said it's a privilege, it's not a right. Your

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

1	Honor has referred to that multiple times. And
2	prohibition on speaking to the press here is
3	absolutely appropriate too.
4	This is, like I say, a far cry from
5	just a one-off press conference or an op-ed, a
6	newspaper, you know, press release that courts
7	have found is insufficient for a gag order. This
8	is repeated hundreds and hundreds of tweets,
9	repeated leaks, and improper conduct with respect
10	to the media, all of which impede the right to a
11	fair trial and the right to full and fair
12	discovery.
13	And I'll save the last couple minutes
14	for rebuttal, Your Honor, subject to any questions
15	you have. But we would also we would ask for
16	that relief as well as for fees in having to bring
17	this motion.
18	THE COURT: Thank you.
19	MR. CHEW: Good morning, again, Your
20	Honor.
21	May it please the Court. Ben Chew, for
22	Dlaintiff Johnny Donn
	Plaintiff Johnny Depp.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

1	The Court should deny Defendant's
2	motions for sanctions in its entirety. And I will
3	address each of the allegations Mr. Rottenborn has
4	made.
5	But I would like to start with the law.
6	Ms. Heard has not cited a single case, not one,
7	that supports the imposition of the one-sided gag
8	order she proposes here today. By contrast,
9	Mr. Depp has cited several cases, including two
10	from the 4th Circuit, that's In re: Murphy-Brown
11	case, LLC, 907 F.3d 788 and Hirschkop v. Snead,
12	594 F 2d 356, both of which hold that gag orders
13	are rare because they implicate two disfavored
14	forms of expressive restrictions. One of those is
15	prior restraints and the other is content-based
16	restrictions.
17	We also cite the U.S. Supreme Court
18	case of Gentile, which reversed the lower court's
19	finding that an attorney violated the state rule
20	on pretrial publicity expressing doubt that an
21	attorney's press conference six months before
22	trial could possibly prejudice the other side.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

#### INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

### Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

20

1 That's 501 U.S. at 1039. 2 Applying this authority, Your Honor, 3 the Court should deny Ms. Heard's motion for gag Indeed, the hypocrisy of her petition is 4 5 stunning. This is the same Amber Heard who 6 abruptly left closing arguments in The Sun trial 7 in London to conduct a press conference on the 8 courthouse steps with Ms. Bredehoft at her side, 9 which Your Honor can see on YouTube. Moreover, two of Ms. Heard's prior three successive lead 10 11 counsel, Eric George and Robbie Kaplan attacked 12 Mr. Depp in the media and repeated Ms. Heard's 13 false claims of domestic abuse, and did so 14 repeatedly. 15 Mr. George, for example, stated, "The evidence in this case is clear. Johnny Depp 16 17 repeatedly beat Amber Heard." By contrast, Ms. Heard cites a private Twitter account, not a 18 19 press conference. 20 There's nothing here that would justify 21 the extreme remedy of a gag order, especially one 22 which would only ban speech on one side.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

1	Similarly, the law is clear that
2	"Revocation of an attorney's pro hac vice
3	admission is a harsh sanction. As a result, it
4	should be exercised sparingly and only in the most
5	egregious cases."
6	That's the Al Procurement case from the
7	Eastern District of Virginia, 2015 Westlaw
8	13733927 at page 3. And I apologize, Your Honor,
9	the cite in our brief said page 19, but it's
10	actually asterisk page 3.
11	And the cases Ms. Heard cites into
12	which Mr. Rottenborn refers are completely
13	inapposite. Only one of the case, Williams &
14	Connolly v. PETA, even involves revocation. And
15	as Your Honor is well aware, that case involved
16	extraordinarily, wildly inappropriate false
17	allegations in pleadings, repeated pleadings, by
18	the lawyer at issue impugning the character of
19	Judge Stitt, before whom I had the honor of
20	practicing, as did Ms. Bredehoft. This case could
21	hardly be more different.
22	There's no allegation that there was

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

### Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

22

1 any improper filing. Nor -- and there certainly 2 was no impugning of any judicial officer. And 3 it's amazing that as egregious as that conduct was 4 by the pro hac vice counsel, there were three 5 justices who actually dissented. Two said there 6 shouldn't have been a pro hac vice revocation. 7 And one said it should have been remanded, which 8 is stunning, in light of the conduct. 9 Meyer involved frivolous and 10 perturbative pleadings, not disqualification. 11 Nussbaum involved a case where one lawyer struck 12 another lawyer, perhaps in the presence of the 13 jury. That didn't involve disqualification. And 14 American Science, that they cite, also didn't 15 involve disqualification, but monetary sanctions and other remedial measures. 16 17 Like Williams & Connolly, that was 18 That's where attorneys issued a press outrageous. 19 release that falsely and deliberately 20 misrepresented a court's ruling. Said that a 21 motion to lift by default judgment was actually an 22 adverse ruling on summary judgment. They did it

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

23

1 with respect to a publicly traded company to 2 damage the stock prices. And then they refused to 3 retract it. 4 So there's no press release here. And 5 there's certainly no misstatement of any court 6 ruling anywhere. And there's certainly no 7 improper pleading. 8 Based on this authority and the 9 exercise sparingly standard, the Court should deny 10 Defendant's motion to revoke the pro hac vice 11 because Ms. Heard does not even allege, much less 12 show, any improper court filings. 13 What we have here, Your Honor, are 14 out-of-court statements on personal Twitter, not 15 press releases, made more than -- made almost one year before trial, referencing materials for which 16 17 Ms. Heard waived confidentiality, and I'll get to 18 that in a moment, but never should have been 19 designated in the first place and were already in 20 the public domain. 21 Getting back to Mr. Rottenborn's point. 22 In The Sun case Ms. Heard voluntarily waived

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

1	confidentiality designated she voluntarily
2	waived her designations of everything she marked
3	confidential here. But what he omits to tell you
4	is that not only did she turn over all of her
5	documents, she also gave The Sun's lawyers every
6	single document Mr. Depp produced here in
7	Virginia, even those documents marked confidential
8	and fell under the three categories. There are
9	only three categories, as Your Honor knows,
10	because we had a big argument about this, that
11	should be marked confidential here: medical
12	records, the diary, and personal identifiers. And
13	The Sun used all of those Mr. Depp's
14	confidential documents against him at the London
15	trial last summer.
16	And it bears noting here that Ms. Heard
17	was not a party in The Sun case. She was not
18	under subpoena. She and Ms. Bredehoft just turned
19	it all over to The Sun to hurt Mr. Depp without
20	any regard to the protective order.
21	By contrast, and this gets directly to
22	what Mr. Rottenborn said, the Josh Drew deposition

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

## INDEX NO. 154545/2021 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

25

1 transcript referenced by Mr. Depp's counsel 2 contained no information that qualifies as confidential. There were no medical records; 3 4 there was no diary; and no personal identifiers. 5 And they never should have been marked 6 confidential by Ms. Heard's second lead counsel, 7 Robbie Kaplan. And Robbie Kaplan was the one who 8 was implicated on the spin of the judge's order, 9 the first order continuing the case. 10 Indeed, Ms. Heard's prior lead counsel 11 designated each and every single one of her emails 12 and texts confidential, even though none of it contained confidential information. There were no 13 personal identifiers; there were no medical 14 15 records; there was no diary. 16 As to the text messages referenced in 17 the personal Twitter account, these were already 18 in the public domain and had been published by the 19 Daily Mirror. The references by counsel were 20 after they were published in the Daily Mirror. 21 I'll go very briefly to the other 22 things that Mr. Rottenborn mentioned. The

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

### Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

26

1 declarations he referenced have all been produced. 2 The letters relating to Ms. Heard cutting off 3 Mr. Depp's finger have been produced. Mr. Drew, as Mr. Rottenborn said, in fact, testified in the 4 5 public trial in London. 6 In short, Your Honor, we do respect 7 this Court's order, and at no time did pro hac 8 vice counsel ever leak or refer to anything that 9 the Court -- that medical records, personal identifiers, any of that, and the Court should 10 11 deny the motion in its entirety. There's no --12 does not meet the legal standard for a gag order 13 or for revocation, which is a very high standard. 14 Thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Rottenborn? 16 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 Just briefly. 18 With respect to the UK proceeding, I 19 disagree with everything Mr. Chew said. But 20 that's not at issue today. That's not before the 21 What is before the Court is Mr. Waldman's 22 conduct and Mr. Chew addressed the cases that they

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

27

1 cited in their brief, which deal with a one-off 2 press conference six months before trial and 3 things of that nature. He says -- and then he 4 tries to distinguish our case just by saying that 5 the conduct in those cases that we cited was 6 outrageous. 7 Well, I would argue, Your Honor, that 8 taking a picture of a document that's been marked 9 confidential, that has not been produced, not 10 publicly available anywhere, and tweeting it out 11 to the world is far more outrageous than any of 12 the conduct in the cases that we've cited. 13 And as to the law that Mr. Chew cited, 14 there's no issue in those cases of a party 15 violating a protective order by leaking documents 16 like that as part of a press campaign to 17 manipulate the press to thwart efforts of third-party discovery, and to do all of this 18 19 without providing that same discovery, that should 20 have been produced months before pursuant to court 21 order, as there is in this case. 22 So this case is a far cry from those

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

1	cases that Mr. Chew has cited in which a gag order
2	was not imposed, and we believe that that's and
3	the tweets, they're continuing. Since we filed
4	our motion, we believe that that's the only way to
5	prevent the harms that we've detailed in our
6	brief. And, sure, trial is not until May now.
7	But when this conduct was engaged in, the trial
8	had not yet been moved, so we have every reason to
9	believe that it will continue.
10	Finally, Your Honor, with respect to
11	the pro hac vice, Mr. Waldman's never shown up in
12	this court. He's admitted pro hac vice not to try
13	this case in the courtroom, but to represent
14	himself as Mr. Depp's lead attorney in trying the
15	case in the press.
16	And for all the reasons detailed in our
17	brief, and the reasons that we've mentioned today,
18	we believe that's highly inappropriate and we ask
19	for whatever relief the Court deems appropriate.
20	Thank you.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Rottenborn, let me ask
22	you this question: If I was to revoke

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

### Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

29

1 Mr. Waldman's pro hac vice status, what authority 2 would I have to issue a gag order against him? Well, it's -- the 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: 4 authority is that he would still be acting as 5 Mr. Depp's agent. You know, they've tried to use 6 that as both a sword and a shield here in 7 resisting discovery and saying he's just acting as 8 Mr. Depp's agent. Now today, they say, well, it's 9 a private Twitter account. 10 But I think as long as he's holding 11 himself out, as he repeatedly does, as Mr. Depp's 12 attorney, it doesn't matter whether he's admitted in this court or not. The Court can prevent 13 14 Mr. Depp from making statements, whether by 15 himself or through his agents, that would cause 16 the type of harm that we've detailed here. 17 So I think the Court retains -- I was 18 thinking about that before the hearing today, Your 19 Honor, and I don't think the revocation of pro hac 20 vice status impacts the Court's ability to 21 prohibit Mr. Depp from making the type of 22 statements, whether by himself or through his

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

### Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

30

agents, that we've detailed. 1 2 All right. What I find is THE COURT: that Mr. Waldman has violated the Court's order as 3 4 far as confidentiality. I find that as a result 5 of that he has failed to meet the level of 6 professionalism expected of Virginia attorneys, 7 and his pro hac vice status is revoked. 8 I'm not convinced, Mr. Rottenborn, that 9 I have the authority on mere allocation, with some 10 statements that you make, that I have the 11 authority, or that it would be appropriate to 12 issue a gag order against one side and only one 13 particular individual, so I decline to do that. 14 I have an order in front of me, and it 15 seems that an order can be either resubmitted, taking out the part about the gag order, or I can 16 17 line through that and initial it. 18 Do you all have thoughts on that? 19 MR. ROTTENBORN: We would be fine if 20 you just line through that and initial it, Your 21 Honor. 22 MR. CHEW: Your Honor, we would like to

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

1	see the order, if it's possible, and note any
2	exceptions we have.
3	We have been cooperative, as
4	Mr. Rottenborn will note, with all but in all
5	but one case in agreeing on the language of the
6	order. So I think we should follow that protocol
7	here once we have an opportunity to review the
8	transcript. And I don't see any reason why we
9	could not get an order to Your Honor by Monday.
10	THE COURT: All right. I think that's
11	appropriate, Mr. Chew. I grant that request.
12	So if you all can get that to me, I'll
13	take care of that next week, all right?
14	Anything further for today then?
15	MR. ROTTENBORN: I guess just the
16	request for fees in our order, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Well, that remains in the
18	order. You'll submit those. Mr. Chew will file
19	an appropriate response and we'll see what happens
20	after that, okay?
21	MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor.
22	MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

> Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

1	THE COURT: Thank you all. Hope
2	everybody stays safe.
3	MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, you too.
4	(Off the record at 10:57 a.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
<ul><li>17</li><li>18</li></ul>	
19	
20	
21	
22	

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

### Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

33

1 CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER 2 I, JUDITH E. BELLINGER, RPR, CRR, the 3 court reporter before whom the foregoing hearing 4 was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing 5 transcript is a true and correct record of the 6 testimony given; that said testimony was taken by 7 me and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my 8 direction; and that I am neither counsel for, 9 related to, nor employed by any of the parties to 10 this case and have no interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome. 11 12 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set 13 my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 23rd day 14 of October, 2020. 15 My Commission Expires: November 3, 2020 16 17 18 udith E. Bellinger) 19 20 NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR 21 THE STATE OF MARYLAND 22

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

#### INDEX NO. 154545/2021

## RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

A	admonition	25:17	18:3, 28:19,
	15:4	also	30:11, 31:11,
<b>ai</b> 21 <b>:</b> 6	admonitions	4:10, 10:11,	31:19
ability	16:18	15:11, 18:15,	april
_	adverse	19:17, 22:14,	13:2
13:17, 29:20 <b>about</b>	22:22	24:5	arque
	affixed	always	8:16, 27:7
7:16, 11:5,	33:13	10:16	argument
11:21, 13:15,	after	amazing	9:22, 10:1,
14:13, 15:4,	25:20, 31:20	22:3	10:15, 10:21,
16:1, 16:9,	again	amber	16:12, 24:10
17:11, 24:10,	9:22, 17:13,	1:7, 5:12,	arguments
29:18, 30:16	18:19	20:5, 20:17	9:21, 11:13,
abruptly	against	american	20:6
20:6	15:3, 24:14,	22:14	article
absolutely	29:2, 30:12	anniversary	12:7, 13:4
17:21, 18:3	agent	13:1	articles
absurd	29:5, 29:8	anonymous	11:20, 16:10,
10:15	agents	13:4, 13:10,	16:14, 16:16
abuse	29:15, 30:1	17:7	asked
20:13	agree	another	7:21
abused	_	22:12	asking
6:10	9:1, 9:2	answer	6:11
abuses	agreeing	8:15	asterisk
13:13	31:5		21:10
accepted	all	any	attacked
9:20	6:9, 12:2,	6:16, 9:22,	20:11
account	12:10, 15:6,	11:15, 14:3,	
8:11, 20:18,	16:7, 16:13,	15:14, 18:14,	attorney
25:17, 29:9	18:10, 24:4,	22:1, 22:2,	5:6, 10:18,
accusations	24:13, 24:19,	23:5, 23:12,	14:12, 16:2,
15 <b>:</b> 2	26:1, 27:18,	24:20, 26:10,	17:7, 17:13,
acting	28:16, 30:2,	27:11, 31:1,	19:19, 28:14,
29:4, 29:7	30:18, 31:4,	31:8, 33:9	29:12
actually	31:10, 31:12,	anything	attorney's
21:10, 22:5,	31:13, 32:1	10:8, 26:8,	14:16, 19:21,
22:21	allegation	31:14	21:2
adam	21:22	anywhere	attorney-client
4:11	allegations	23:6, 27:10	17:8
address	19:3, 21:17	apologize	attorneys
19:3	allege	21:8	17:20, 22:18,
addressed	23:11	appearances	30:6
26:22	allocation	5:4	audio
adhere	30:9	application	11:21
6:3, 17:20	allowing	6:7	australia
admission	10:18	applying	12:18
21:3	almost	20:2	authority
admitted	12:10, 23:15	appropriate	20:2, 23:8,
28:12, 29:12	already	6:21, 17:21,	29:1, 29:4,
20.12, 2J.12	13:10, 23:19,		
			I .

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on October 23, 2020

Conducted on October 23, 2020				
30:9, 30:11	5:12, 13:22		23:6	
available	believe	california	certificate	
27:10	8:14, 28:2,	14:11	33:1	
aware	28:4, 28:9,	camille	certified	
21:15	28:18		2:11	
В	believed	3:5, 5:8	certify	
back	14:1	campaign	33:4	
	believes	27:16	challenge	
23:21	10:17	caption	9:8	
bacon	bellinger	12:5	challenged	
4:5	1:20, 2:10,	care	9:4	
ban	33:2	31:13	character	
20:22	ben	case	21:18	
based	5:6, 5:11,	1:6, 6:6, 6:18,	charlson	
23:8	18:21	6:19, 7:12, 8:7,	4:3, 4:4	
bates	benjamin	10:6, 11:12,	chew	
10:8	3:4, 3:13	11:19, 12:5,	3:4, 5:5, 5:6,	
bears	biq	12:10, 14:15,		
24:16	24:10	14:21, 15:8,	7:19, 9:2, 9:6,	
beat	blatantly	16:7, 17:10,	18:19, 18:21,	
20:17	7:9	19:6, 19:11,	26:19, 26:22,	
because		19:18, 20:16,	27:13, 28:1,	
9:3, 9:19,	both	21:6, 21:13,	30:22, 31:11,	
14:1, 19:13,	5:22, 14:13,	21:15, 21:20,	31:18, 31:22	
23:11, 24:10	19:12, 29:6	22:11, 23:22,	chew's	
been	bredehoft	24:17, 25:9,	9:4	
6:5, 7:10, 8:7,	4:3, 4:4, 5:12,	27:4, 27:21,	chief	
8:17, 10:6,	5:16, 20:8,	27:22, 28:13,	7:3	
12:9, 12:11,	21:20, 24:18	28:15, 31:5,	circuit	
13:14, 16:4,	brief	33:10	1:2, 19:10	
16:5, 16:14,	6:13, 6:16,	cases	circular	
22:6, 22:7,	7:7, 8:16, 9:17,	15:19, 15:20,	16:15	
23:18, 25:5,	10:11, 13:12,	16:4, 19:9,	cite	
25:18, 26:1,	14:10, 16:13,	21:5, 21:11,	15:20, 19:17,	
26:3, 27:8,	21:9, 27:1,	26:22, 27:5,	21:9, 22:14	
27:9, 27:20,	28:6, 28:17	27:12, 27:14,	cited	
28:8, 31:3	briefly	28:1	19:6, 19:9,	
before	7:6, 25:21,	categories	27:1, 27:5,	
1:12, 2:1, 2:9,	26:17	7:8, 24:8, 24:9	27:12, 27:13,	
11:15, 11:16,	bring	category	28:1	
12:11, 15:14,	18:16	8:5, 11:18,	cites	
19:21, 21:19,	brought	12:16	20:18, 21:11	
23:16, 26:20,	15:17	cause	cl	
26:21, 27:2,	brown	12:19, 16:11,	1:7	
27:20, 29:18,	3:6, 4:4	29:15	claim	
33:3	bruce	causing	10:11	
behalf	1:12, 2:1	16:16	claimed	
3:3, 3:12,	bubble	certainly	13:5	
,	13:16	22:1, 23:5,	claiming	
		·	16:6	

#### INDEX NO. 154545/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

36 claims 8:8, 8:10, 8:14, counsel courtroom 8:17, 9:6, 9:14, 20:13 9:4, 10:22, 28:13 10:6, 10:17, clear 14:13, 20:11, courts 10:20, 14:2, 6:1, 16:1, 22:4, 25:1, 17:19, 18:6 20:16, 21:1 24:3, 24:7, 25:6, 25:10, create 24:11, 24:14, 25:19, 26:8, clearly 16:10 25:3, 25:6, 33:8 10:8 creating 25:12, 25:13, counsel's closing 16:5 27:9 6:22 crr 20:6 confidentiality counterclaims cohen 1:20, 33:2 8:12, 8:22, 17:10 4:4 cry 14:14, 23:17, county colleague 10:18, 15:22, 24:1, 30:4 1:2 5:11 18:4, 27:22 connection couple come cutting 11:4 13:21 5:21, 7:8, 26:2 connolly 12:1, 18:13 commission D 6:19, 21:14, course 33:15 daily 22:17 commonwealth 12:18 25:19, 25:20 consuming court 6:2 damage 16:8 1:2, 5:3, 5:4, company 23:2 contacted 5:6, 5:14, 5:18, 23:1 dav 7:20 5:22, 6:1, 6:3, compare 33:13 contained 6:6, 6:8, 6:12, 15:18 de-designate 25:2, 25:13 6:20, 8:21, compel 10:7 content-based 11:6, 11:7, 13:7 de-designating 19:15 11:16, 12:8, complaining 8:13, 8:18 continuance 12:21, 14:21, 10:14, 13:15 deal 15:14, 17:14, 15:5 completely 27:1 17:18, 17:21, continue 21:12 decide 18:18, 18:21, 28:9 comport 9:13, 10:16, 19:1, 19:17, continuing 6:8 10:19 20:3, 23:5, 25:9, 28:3 conduct declarations 23:9, 23:12, contrast 6:12, 6:16, 11:22, 12:5, 26:9, 26:10, 19:8, 20:17, 6:22, 7:6, 8:3, 16:5, 26:1 26:15, 26:21, 24:21 11:10, 11:17, decline 27:20, 28:12, conversation 14:22, 15:9, 30:13 28:19, 28:21, 15:19, 16:12, 8:2 deems 29:13, 29:17, 18:9, 20:7, convinced 28:19 30:2, 31:10, 30:8 22:3, 22:8, default 31:17, 32:1, cooperative 26:22, 27:5, 22:21 33:3 31:3 27:12, 28:7 defend court's correct conducted 11:3 15:4, 15:8, 1:13, 2:2 9:9, 33:5 defendant 16:18, 19:18, correctly conference 1:8, 3:12, 5:12 22:20, 26:7, 9:7 15:21, 18:5, defendant's 29:20, 30:3 could 19:21, 20:7, 19:1, 23:10 courthouse 20:19, 27:2 15:16, 19:22, defense 20:8 21:20, 31:9 confidential 8:3 7:11, 7:21,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

## RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

	Conducted on U	25, 2020	37
defenses	28:5, 28:16,	10:12, 10:16,	emailing
17 <b>:</b> 9	29:16, 30:1	11:1, 11:8,	14:13
deliberately	diary	11:19, 12:2,	emails
22:19	24:12, 25:4,	12:9, 13:3,	25:11
deny	25:15	16:3, 16:7,	employed
6:15, 6:17,	different	24:5, 24:7,	33:9
8:2, 9:18, 19:1,	9:5, 21:21	24:14, 27:15	engage
20:3, 23:9,	direct	doing	14:22, 15:9
26:11	13:16	16:13	engaged
depose	direction	domain	6:15, 28:7
17:15	33:8	23:20, 25:18	entirety
deposition	directly	domestic	10:5, 19:2,
7:13, 7:20,	8:11, 17:9,	20:13	26:11
9:3, 13:20,	24:21	done	eric
14:1, 14:12,	disagree	9:20, 11:3	20:11
17:1, 17:17,	26:19	doubt	especially
24:22	discoverable	19:20	20:21
depp	17:11	dozen	esquire
1:4, 5:7, 8:15,	discovery	15:1	3:4, 3:5, 3:13,
11:7, 11:12,	13:17, 15:11,	draft	4:3
12:18, 13:1,	15:17, 16:19,	12:4	ethical
13:6, 17:16,	17:4, 18:12,	drew	7:1
18:22, 19:9,	27:18, 27:19,	7:14, 13:19,	even
20:12, 20:16,	29:7	24:22, 26:3	6:17, 8:5,
24:6, 24:19,	disfavored	drew's	9:19, 12:13,
29:14, 29:21	19:13	9:3	21:14, 23:11,
depp's	dismiss	drive	24:7, 25:12
6:13, 10:5,	11:12	4:5	event
16:13, 24:13,	dispute	E	-  <sub>11:15</sub>
25:1, 26:3,	12:19	each	ever
28:14, 29:5,	disqualification	19:3, 25:11	9:18, 26:8
29:8, 29:11	22:10, 22:13,	eastern	every
derailed	22:15	21:7	24:5, 25:11,
14:19	disregarded	efforts	28:8
designate	17:16	14:19, 27:17	everybody
9:7	dissented	egregious	5:3, 5:15, 32:2
designated	22:5	8:6, 12:15,	everything
10:1, 23:19,	distinguish	21:5, 22:3	9:14, 11:3,
24:1, 25:11	27:4	either	15:15, 24:2,
designation	district	30:15	26:19
8:22, 9:4	21:7	elaine	evidence
designations	docketing	4:3, 5:12	13:5, 20:16
24:2	2:9	electronically	exactly
detail	document	8:9	9:20
7:6	10:5, 17:4,	else	example
detailed	24:6, 27:8	9:14	12:4, 12:15,
6:16, 7:7,	documents	email	20:15
14:9, 15:11,	7:10, 8:18,	14:16	examples
			13:18

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

forms except far good 8:9 10:18, 15:22, 19:14 5:5, 5:9, 5:10, 18:4, 27:11, exceptions found 5:14, 5:16, 27:22, 30:4 31:2 11:7, 11:9, 18:19 exclamation fd grant 18:7 19:11 friday 31:11 13:6 granted fees exercise 1:14 23:9 18:16, 31:16 frivolous 6:6, 11:10 guess fell 22:9 exercised 24:8 front 31:15 21:4 few 30:14 expect guiding 9:21 full 6:2, 17:20 6:18 file expected 15:10, 18:11 Н 31:18 further 30:6 hac filed 13:13, 31:14 expects 6:7, 6:19, 12:9, 16:7, 6:9 6:21, 17:21, 28:3 expires 21:2, 22:4, gag 33:15 filing 22:6, 23:10, 18:7, 19:7, 22:1 expressing 26:7, 28:11, 19:12, 20:3, filings 19:20 28:12, 29:1, 20:21, 26:12, expressive 23:12 28:1, 29:2, 29:19, 30:7 finally 19:14 half 30:12, 30:16 28:10 extraordinarily 15:1 gave financial 21:16 hallmark 24:5 33:10 extreme 6:5 generally find 20:21 hand 6:3 30:2, 30:4 F 33:13 gentile finding happen fact 19:18 19:19 15:5, 15:6 26:4 george fine happened 20:11, 20:15 failed 30:19 7:22 11:8, 30:5 getting finger 23:21 happens fails 12:17, 26:3 31:19 give 7:2 first hard fair 9:12, 13:17 7:8, 7:13, 15:10, 15:12, given 7:4 13:19, 23:19, hardly 33:6 18:11 25:9 21:21 gives fairfax fit harm 12:2 1:2 13:10 29:16 giving fairly flouted harms 15:21, 16:6 16:15 17:16 28:5 falls go follow 5:20, 25:21 harsh 7:8 31:6 21:3 goes false footer 17:9 he'11 7:16, 16:9, 8:10 12:6 going 20:13, 21:16 foregoing heard falsely 14:7, 14:8, 33:3, 33:4 16:1 1:7, 5:13, 22:19 former 9:4

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

# Transcript of Hearing

Conducted on October 23, 2020 39 7:16, 8:7, holding impede instill 10:12, 11:14, 29:10 18:10 7:5 15:1, 19:6, honor impeding insufficient 20:5, 20:17, 5:5, 5:9, 5:11, 15:9 18:7 20:18, 21:11, 5:17, 5:19, intended implicate 23:11, 23:17, 6:11, 6:18, 7:7, 19:13 17:11 23:22, 24:16, 8:5, 9:12, implicated interest 26:2 10:15, 11:2, 25:8 33:10 heard's 12:6, 12:16, imposed involve 9:3, 10:22, 13:14, 14:10, 28:2 22:13, 22:15 13:16, 15:10, 15:2, 15:15, imposition involved 17:9, 20:3, 16:2, 16:12, 19:7 21:15, 22:9, 20:10, 20:12, 17:4, 18:1, improper 22:11 18:14, 18:20, 25:6, 25:10 16:10, 18:9, involves 20:2, 20:9, hearing 22:1, 23:7, 21:14 21:8, 21:15, 1:11, 2:1, 23:12 issue 29:18, 33:3 21:19, 23:13, 10:9, 11:2, improperly 24:9, 26:6, helped 10:22 11:15, 21:18, 26:14, 26:16, 16:10 impugning 26:20, 27:14, 27:7, 28:10, here 29:2, 30:12 21:18, 22:2 29:19, 30:21, 5:11, 6:11, issued inadequate 6:18, 7:7, 12:5, 30:22, 31:9, 22:18 7:1 31:16, 31:21, 16:21, 18:2, items inapposite 31:22 19:8, 20:20, 8:13 21:13 honorable 23:4, 23:13, inappropriate J 1:12, 2:1 24:3, 24:6, 21:16, 28:18 *iefferson* hope 24:11, 24:16, including 3:15 29:6, 29:16, 32:1 16:19, 19:9 job 31:7 hundreds incorrect 1:18 hereby 18:8 12:3 john 33:4 hurt indeed 1:4 hereunto 24:19 20:4, 25:10 johnny 33:12 hypocrisy individual 5:7, 18:22, herring 20:4 30:13 20:16 11:2 I individuals josh high identified 12:13 7:14, 24:22 26:13 12:13 information judge highest identifiers 25:2, 25:13 16:17, 21:19 24:12, 25:4, initial judge's highly 25:14, 26:10 30:17, 30:20 25:8 28:18 ii initially judgment himself 1:4 13:20, 14:6, 22:21, 22:22 6:8, 28:14, immediately 14:20 judicial 29:11, 29:15, 7:18 injury 11:6, 22:2 29:22 impact 12:17 judith hirschkop 13:16 instances 1:20, 2:9, 33:2 19:11 impacts 12:2, 12:10, july hold 29:20 12:12 11:7, 13:7 17:18, 19:12

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

	Conducted on Oc	7.0001 23, 2020	40
june	12:11, 13:20,	london	12:21, 24:11,
7:18	14:3, 14:15	20:7, 24:14,	25:3, 25:14,
jury	leaking	26:5	26:9
15:13, 22:13	11:19, 16:2,	long	meet
justices	16:3, 27:15	6:4, 29:10	7:2, 26:12,
7:3, 22:5	leaks	look	30:5
justify	13:13, 18:9	9:17	meet-and-confer
20:20	learned	lower	8:20, 14:3
<u>K</u>	7:17	19:18	mentioned
	least	<u>M</u>	25:22, 28:17
kaplan	7:10		mere
20:11, 25:7	left	made	30:9
kind	20:6	6:1, 11:12,	messages
14:19	legal	13:14, 14:17,	8:6, 25:16
know	6:5, 26:12	19:4, 23:15	meyer
13:14, 14:17,	legitimate	make	22:9
18:6, 29:5	16:11	15:3, 30:10	minutes
knows	less	makes	5:21, 18:13
24:9	23:11	9:21	mirror
L	let's	making	25:19, 25:20
language		11:13, 29:14,	misconduct
31:5	16:1	29:21	
last	letter	manipulate	11:18, 12:16,
10:4, 10:7,	15:16	16:7, 27:17	16:15
13:1, 17:1,	letters	many	misleading
18:13, 24:15	26:2	7:4, 12:12	12:4, 16:9
later	level	marked	misleadingly
8:1	7:2, 30:5	7:10, 7:21,	12:8
laura	lift	8:8, 8:17, 10:6,	misrepresented
1:7	22:21	24:2, 24:7,	22:20
law	light	24:11, 25:5,	misstatement
19:5, 21:1,	22:8	27:8	23:5
27:13	limited	maryland	modify
lawyer	17:4	2:12, 33:21	8:21
15:14, 15:18,	line	material	moment
15:21, 21:18,	30:17, 30:20	8:16, 9:22	23:18
22:11, 22:12	list	materials	monday
lawyers	12:14	23:16	31:9
6:2, 6:9, 14:6,	litany	matter	monetary
	13:13	29:12	22:15
24:5	literally	measures	months
lead	8:6	22:16	13:9, 19:21,
20:10, 25:6,	litigation	mechanism	27:2, 27:20
25:10, 28:14	11:1, 11:9,	8:18	more
leak	12:20	media	6:13, 8:5,
7:22, 12:6,	11c	7:17, 18:10,	21:21, 23:15,
13:10, 26:8	19:11	20:12	27:11
leaked	llp	medical	moreover
7:15, 8:3,	3:6	11:22, 12:17,	20:9
	<del>-</del>	11.44, 14.11,	

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing

Conducted on October 23, 2020 41 morning nine 14:9, 19:6, others 5:5, 5:9, 5:10, 13:9 19:14, 20:21, 7:4 20:22, 21:13, 5:14, 5:16, none otherwise 22:7, 22:11, 18:19 25:12 33:11 most notable 23:15, 25:7, out 25:11, 30:12, 12:15, 21:4 6:13 7:18, 7:19, 31:5 motion notarial 8:10, 9:15, one-off 10:9, 18:17, 33:13 16:14, 17:5, 18:5, 27:1 20:3, 22:21, notary 27:10, 29:11, one-sided 30:16 23:10, 26:11, 2:11, 33:20 15:7, 19:7 out-of-court 28:4 note motions one-year 23:14 5:3, 10:3, 13:1 12:6, 15:17, outcome 16:21, 31:1, only 19:2 33:11 31:4 11:5, 20:22, move nothing outlet 21:4, 21:13, 13:7, 17:2, 7:18 20:20 24:4, 24:9, 17:12 outlets notice 28:4, 30:12 moved 11:6, 17:17 12:3 28:8 op-ed noticed outrageous 18:5 much 22:18, 27:6, 16:22 10:7, 23:11 opportunity noting 27:11 31:7 multiple over 24:16 18:1 order 24:4, 24:19 november 7:11, 8:12, murphy-brown own 33:15 8:19, 9:11, 19:10 numbers 10:12, 10:17 10:3, 11:19, N 10:8 Ρ 16:20, 17:3, nussbaum named page 17:13, 18:7, 22:11 7:14 13:12, 21:8, 19:8, 20:4, nw nature 21:9, 21:10 20:21, 24:20, 3:7 27:3 pages 25:8, 25:9, 0 nearly 1:19 26:7, 26:12, 10:4 part occasional 27:15, 27:21, 9:5, 27:16, necessary 15:21 28:1, 29:2, 30:16 8:21 october 30:3, 30:12, neither 1:14, 12:22, particular 30:14, 30:15, 33:8 30:13 17:2, 33:14 30:16, 31:1, never parties officer 31:6, 31:9, 12:11, 23:18, 10:2, 14:13, 22:2 31:16, 31:18 25:5, 28:11 14:22, 15:8, okay ordered new 33:9 31:20 11:9, 12:21, 13:5 omits party 16:5 8:20, 10:16, news 24:3 orders 12:3 14:10, 14:11, once 16:19, 19:12 newspaper 24:17, 27:14 13:19, 17:13, other passed 18:6 31:7 9:21, 10:19, 12:22 next one 19:15, 19:22, perhaps 31:13 8:13, 9:1, 22:16, 25:21 22:12

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

#### INDEX NO. 154545/2021

42

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

# Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

personal present produced published 23:14, 24:12, 4:10, 17:14 7:9, 8:7, 16:14, 16:16, 25:18, 25:20 press 25:4, 25:14, 10:12, 10:22, 25:17, 26:9 7:19, 11:20, 11:9, 12:11, purported perturbative 12:7, 12:12, 12:22, 13:9, 10:6 16:4, 16:5, 15:21, 16:6, pursuant 22:10 16:8, 17:6, 24:6, 26:1, peta 2:9, 27:20 18:2, 18:5, 26:3, 27:9, 21:14 put petition 18:6, 19:21, 27:20 6:12 product 20:7, 20:19, 20:4 22:18, 23:4, 17:8 picture qualifies 23:15, 27:2, production 27:8 25:2 27:16, 27:17, 10:5 place question 28:15 professional 23:19 28:22 pretrial plaintiff 2:10 questions 19:20 professionalism 1:5, 3:3, 5:7, 18:14 prevent 6:4, 7:3, 30:6 18:22 quickly 28:5, 29:13 plc prohibit 5:20 prices 29:21 3:14 quite 23:2 prohibition pleading 6:10, 9:6 prior 18:2 23:7 quote 12:6, 13:9, pleadings properly 6:22 19:15, 20:10, 10:1 21:17, 22:10 R 25:10 proposes please private rare 19:8 5:3, 5:6, 18:21 19:13 20:18, 29:9 point protected rd privilege 16:3 23:21 33:13 17:8, 17:22 points protections reached pro 14:14 13:6 7:19 6:7, 6:18, protective pool reaching 6:21, 17:20, 7:11, 8:19, 15:13 7:18 21:2, 22:4, 9:11, 10:3, portion 22:6, 23:10, ready 16:20, 17:3, 7:15, 7:20 26:7, 28:11, 5:18, 17:15 17:13, 24:20, possible realtime 28:12, 29:1, 27:15 5:21, 31:1 29:19, 30:7 2:11 protocol possibly probably reason 31:6 19:22 15:2 28:8, 31:8 provided potential proceeding reasons 13:3 14:14, 17:5 10:13, 11:4, 15:4, 28:16, providing practice 26:18 28:17 27:19 6:2, 6:5 process rebuttal public practices 8:13, 9:2, 14:3 5:21, 18:14 2:11, 16:8, 15:14 received procurement 23:20, 25:18, practicing 21:6 26:5, 33:20 11:6 21:20 produce recites publicity prejudice 13:12 11:8, 13:2, 19:20 19:22 13:6, 13:8, 14:7 publicly presence 23:1, 27:10 22:12

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

#### RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

Conducted on October 23, 2020				43
record	18:16, 28:19	response	5:11, 5:19,	
32:4, 33:5	remains	10:9, 31:19	19:3, 21:12,	
recordings	31:17	reston	24:22, 25:22,	
11:21	remanded	4:7	26:4, 26:15,	
records	22:7	restraints	26:16, 28:21,	
11:22, 12:17,	remedial	19:15	29:3, 30:8,	
12:21, 24:12,	22:16	restrictions	30:19, 31:4,	
25:3, 25:15,	remedy	19:14, 19:16	31:15, 31:21,	
26:9	20:21	resubmitted	32:3	
red	remove	30:15	rottenborn's	
11:2	8:21	result	23:21	
reduced	removed	21:3, 30:4	rpr	
33:7	8:10	retains	1:20, 33:2	
refer	repeated	29:17	rudnick	
26:8	18:8, 18:9,	retract	3:6	
reference	20:12, 21:17	23:3	rule	
9:19	repeatedly	retracted	19:19	
referenced	11:19, 14:21,	7:22	rules	
25:1, 25:16,	15:8, 20:14,	reversed	16:20, 17:16	
26:1	20:17, 29:11	19:18	ruling	
references	reported	review	22:20, 22:22,	
25:19	1:20	31:7	23:6	
referencing	reporter	revocation	rumors	
23:16	2:10, 2:11,	6:20, 17:20,	7:16	
referred	5:4, 17:14,	21:2, 21:14,	run	
18:1	33:1, 33:3	22:6, 26:13,	12:1	
refers	represent	29:19	S	
21:12	28:13	revoke	safe	
reflect	request	23:10, 28:22	32:2	
6:22	31:11, 31:16	revoked	said	
refused	<pre>requesting 14:15</pre>	30:7	14:6, 17:22,	
23:2		right	21:9, 22:5,	
regard	requirement 7:2	9:7, 9:8, 9:13,	22:7, 22:20,	
8:12, 16:18,	requires	15:12, 17:22,	24:22, 26:4,	
16:20, 24:20 registered	8:20	18:10, 18:11, 30:2, 31:10,	26:19, 33:6	
2:10	reserve	31:13	same	
related	5:20	rights	10:14, 20:5, 27:19	
7:15, 33:9	resisting	15:10	sanction	
relating	29:7	roanoke	21:3	
12:17, 26:2	respect	3:17	sanctionable	
release	6:18, 18:9,	robbie	11:10	
18:6, 22:19,	23:1, 26:6,	20:11, 25:7	sanctions	
23:4	26:18, 28:10	roger	11:11, 19:2,	
releases	respond	4 <b>:</b> 5	22:15	
23:15	8:1	rogers	save	
relief	responded	3:14	18:13	
11:11, 16:22,	9:6	rottenborn		
<u> </u>		3:13, 5:10,		
		·		

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

Conducted on October 23, 2020 44				
say	should	sparingly	subject	
6:14, 11:5,	8:17, 9:10,	21:4, 23:9	12:19, 18:14	
15:1, 15:6,	9:13, 10:17,	speaking	submit	
18:4, 29:8	13:8, 19:1,	18:2	31:18	
saying	20:3, 21:4,	specifying	subpoena	
27:4, 29:7	22:7, 23:9,	10:8	24:18	
says	23:18, 24:11,	speech	successive	
6:20, 12:8,	25:5, 26:10,	20:22	20:10	
27:3	27:19, 31:6	spin	suite	
science	shouldn't	25:8	3:8, 3:16, 4:6	
22:14	10:13, 22:6	standard	summary	
screenshot	show	17:19, 23:9,	22:22	
9:16, 10:20	17:2, 17:12,	26:12, 26:13	summer	
screenshots	23:12	standards	24:15	
8:8	shown	6 <b>:</b> 4	sun	
seal	28:11	start	10:13, 20:6,	
33:13	side	19:5	23:22, 24:13,	
second	10:19, 15:3,	state	24:17, 24:19	
8:4, 11:18,	19:22, 20:8,	2:12, 7:4,	sun's	
14:9, 25:6	20:22, 30:12	19:19, 33:21	13:21, 24:5	
section	signature-mig2k	stated	supports	
8:19	33:18	20:15	19:7	
see	similarly	statements	supreme	
20:9, 31:1,	21:1_	23:14, 29:14,	6:1, 6:20,	
31:8, 31:19	simply	29:22, 30:10	17:21, 19:17	
seek	6:11	status	sure	
16:22	since	6:21, 29:1,	28:6	
seems	28:3	29:20, 30:7	surveillance	
30:15	single	stays	11:22	
seen	19:6, 24:6,	32:2	sustained	
12:6, 13:10	25:11	steps	12:18	
send	six	20:8	sword	
9:14, 9:15	19:21, 27:2	still	29:6	
sending	snead	13:6, 29:4	T	
16:13	19:11	stitt	tainting	
sent	some	21:19	15:13	
8:10, 15:16	10:12, 15:19,	stock	take	
set	16:4, 30:9 somehow	23:2	13:17, 15:10,	
33:12		stop	31:13	
several	8:9, 8:17,	6:12	taken	
19:9	10:22, 11:12, 11:14, 15:3	stories	14:1, 33:4,	
sexual	something	12:4	33:6	
7:15, 7:16	10:19, 11:14	street	taking	
shield	sought	3:7, 3:15	27:8, 30:16	
29:6	14:12	struck	talking	
short	south	22:11	17:5, 17:6	
16:17, 26:6	3:15	stunning	tapes	
shorthand	3:13	20:5, 22:8	11:22	
33:1				
		ı	I	

#### INDEX NO. 154545/2021

45

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

## Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

team 27:18 29:5 unbecoming 17:14 thirteenth tries 15:13 tell 3:7 27:4 under 24:3 thoughts trigger 7:11, 10:2, 30:18 11:20 24:8, 24:18, telling threatened true 33:7 9:18 understand 11:11, 13:21 testified 33:5 26:4 threatening trust 10:4, 14:5, 14:6, 14:16, 15:12 testify 6:8, 6:10 three 17:3 13:21, 14:4, try understandable 13:5, 20:10, 6:17, 28:12 14:8, 14:18, 22:4, 24:8, 24:9 14:20 trying 13:22 through understanding testimony 28:14 10:2, 12:1, 7:1 13:20, 33:6 turn text 15:19, 15:20, 24:4 unfettered 9:13 8:6, 25:16 29:15, 29:22, turned 30:17, 30:20 unilateral texts 24:18 25:12 thwart tweet 9:12 27:17 unilaterally th 9:15, 12:7 time 10:19 12:22, 13:7, tweeting 26:7 17:2 16:9, 27:10 until thank times 10:4, 28:6 tweets 15:1, 18:1 5:19, 18:18, uploaded 11:20, 16:14, 26:14, 26:16, today 8:9 18:8, 28:3 28:20, 31:21, 5:8, 6:11, use twitter 31:22, 32:1, 10:10, 11:3, 29:5 8:11, 13:4, 11:13, 11:15, 32:3 user 13:11, 17:7, thereafter 16:22, 19:8, 13:4, 13:11 20:18, 23:14, 26:20, 28:17, 33:7 25:17, 29:9 users 29:8, 29:18, thing two 17:7 31:14 9:1, 11:5, 15:7 7:10, 13:17, usually told 19:9, 19:13, things 12:8 14:22, 15:8 15:20, 25:22, 20:10, 22:5 V took 27:3 type va think 8:6, 8:8 15:7, 29:16, 3:17, 4:7 6:14, 6:17, traded 29:21 vasquez 23:1 8:5, 12:15, typewriting 3:5, 5:8, 5:9 29:10, 29:17, transcript 33:7 vice 29:19, 31:6, 7:13, 9:5, U 6:7, 6:19, 31:10 25:1, 31:8, 33:5 uk 6:21, 17:21, thinking trial 10:13, 11:1, 21:2, 22:4, 13:22, 15:12, 29:18 22:6, 23:10, 11:4, 11:7, thinks 18:11, 19:22, 13:22, 26:18 26:8, 28:11, 9:13 20:6, 23:16, ultimate 28:12, 29:1, 24:15, 26:5, 27:2, 28:6, 28:7 third 29:20, 30:7 11:1 14:10, 14:11 ultimately videographer third-party tried 14:4, 14:18 17:15 15:15, 15:18, 7:14, 7:17,

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

INDEX NO. 154545/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing
Conducted on October 23, 2020

Conducted on October 23, 2020			
violated	28:17, 29:16,	wrong	201
19:19, 30:3	30:1	11:14	4:6
violating	wednesday	wrote	2015
15:3, 27:15	17:1	13:4	21:7
virgina	week	Y	2019
6:9	10:4, 10:7,		1:7, 12:22
virginia	13:1, 31:13	year	20190
1:1, 5:22, 6:5,	welcome	23:16	4:7
6:20, 17:19,	5:14	youtube	202.536
21:7, 24:7, 30:6	weren't	20:9	3:10
virginia's	14:7	<u> </u>	2020
7:1	westlaw	.1700	1:14, 13:2,
virtually	21:7	3:10	33:14, 33:15
1:13, 2:2	whatever	.3	23
voluntarily	7:21, 28:19	19:11	1:14, 33:13
23:22, 24:1	whereof	. 6800	<b>24011</b>
	33:12	4:8	3:17
<u> </u>	whether	.7600	2d
waived	14:18, 29:12,	3 <b>:</b> 18	19:12
23:17, 23:22,	29:14, 29:22	0	3
24:2	white	0002911	
waldman	1:12, 2:1,	1:7	30
4:11, 6:15,	16:17		1:15
7:9, 7:15, 8:2,	wildly	1	326192
9:12, 10:14,	21:16	10	1:18
13:3, 13:9,	williams	1:15, 3:15,	33
13:13, 14:2,		13:7, 32:4	1:19
14:15, 16:18,	6:19, 21:13,	1039	356
17:19, 30:3	22:17	20:1	19:12
waldman's	without	11260	4
6:7, 6:10,	10:7, 14:3,	4 <b>:</b> 5	4th
11:16, 17:1,	24:19, 27:19	1319	19:10
26:21, 28:11,	witness	3:17	5
29:1	7:14, 12:14,	13733927	
want	14:17, 33:12	21:8	501
9:7, 15:6	witnesses	14	20:1
washington	17:6	17 <b>:</b> 2	540.983
3:9	woods	1400	3:18
way	3:14	3:16	57
9:9, 28:4	work	18	32:4
we'll	9:10, 10:2,	12:22	594
9:1, 31:19	17:7, 17:8	19	19:12
we're	worked	21:9	6
6:11, 13:15,	7:4	2	600
16:21	world	20005	3:8
we've	8:11, 9:15,	3:9	601
15:15, 15:16,	9:16, 10:20,		3:7
27:12, 28:5,	27:11		
	write		
	12:3	1	

INDEX NO. 154545/2021 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 43

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 06/04/2021

Transcript of Hearing Conducted on October 23, 2020

		Conducted on October 23, 2020	. ,
	7		
703.318			
4:8			
4:8 <b>788</b>			
19:11			
	9		
907			
19:11			
9th			
11:7			